

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,349	02/22/2000		Takashi Kurimoto	034620-049 2612	
7:	7590 05/28/2004			EXAMINER	
Robert E Kreb	os		HOM, SHICK C		
Thelen Reid &	Priest LLP				
P O Box 64064	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2666	17	
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/510,349	KURIMOTO ET AL.						
Advisory Addicti	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Shick C Hom	2666						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 3/22//04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			te leter in					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						
10. Other:								
<del>-</del>								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant have not canceled the rejected claims 1-3, 5, and 17 and amend claims 4, 6-16 and 18-27 to include all the limitations of the base claim and inervening claims. In page 3 of the response, applicant argued that the usage of that data channel, i.e. one data channel, by each cable modem cannot be monitored is not persusive because the claims do not clearly recite monitoring datagram on one data channel as argued. The claims merely recite monitoring traffic transmitted by a user to a network. Further, applicant argued that even if Fijolek disclose traffic monitoring as claimed, it is not obvious that it is monitoring traffic transmitted by each user to a network is not persuasive because the claims do not recite each user being monitored. In page 4 applicant argued that the preference value of Hyziak is different from the preference value claimed because it is the quantification of results of evaluating the user's usage of communications is not persuasive because the preferences being quality of service, cost, and time clearly reads on the results being quantified by user's usage of communications.

DANG TON

BENEAR ENTRE